RUP-14-17

Negative tension branes as stable thin shell wormholes

Takafumi Kokubu* and Tomohiro Harada†

Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

(Dated: November 21, 2014)

Abstract

We investigate negative tension branes as stable thin shell wormholes in Reissner-Nordström-(anti) de Sitter spacetimes in d dimensional Einstein gravity. Imposing Z2 symmetry, we construct and classify traversable static thin shell wormholes in spherical, planar (or cylindrical) and hyperbolic symmetries. In spherical geometry, we find the higher dimensional counterpart of Barceló and Visser's wormholes, which are stable against spherically symmetric perturbations. We also find the classes of thin shell wormholes in planar and hyperbolic symmetries with a negative cosmological constant, which are stable against perturbations preserving symmetries. In most cases, stable wormholes are found with the combination of an electric charge and a negative cosmological constant. However, as special cases, we find stable wormholes even with vanishing cosmological constant in spherical symmetry and with vanishing electric charge in hyperbolic symmetry.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv,04.20.Gz,04.50.Gh

arXiv:1411.5454v1 [gr-qc] 20 Nov 2014

* takafumi@rikkyo.ac.jp

† harada@rikkyo.ac.jp

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 3

II. Wormhole formalism 4

A. Construction 4

B. Equations for the shell 6

III. Wormholes with a negative tension brane with Z2 symmetry 9

A. Effective potential 9

B. Static solutions, stability criterion and horizon-avoidance condition 9

C. $d = 3$ 10

D. $d \geq 4$ 11

1. $k = 1$ and $M \neq 0$ 11

2. $k = -1$ and $M \neq 0$ 14

3. $k \neq 0$ and $M = 0$ 16

4. $k = 0$ and $M \neq 0$ 17

5. $k = 0$ and $M = 0$ 18

IV. Summary and Discussion 18

Acknowledgments 20

A. The condition for right solutions 20

References 21

I. INTRODUCTION

Wormholes are spacetime structures which connect two different universes or two points of our universe. M. Morris and K. Thorne have pioneered qualitative study for static spherically symmetric wormholes which are two-way traversable and discussed the traversable conditions in [1]. The most difficult requirement for satisfying the traversable conditions is an exotic matter which violates the null or weak energy condition. In general relativity, Morris and Thorne's static spherically symmetric traversable wormholes need the stress-energy tensor that violates the energy condition at their throat. See details in [1].

An another class of traversable wormholes has been found by M. Visser. This class of wormholes can be obtained by a "cut-and-paste" procedure [2] and such structures are called *thin shell* wormholes (TSWs). E. Poisson and M. Visser first presented stability analysis for spherical perturbations around such TSWs, and found that there are stable configurations according to the equation of state of an exotic matter residing on the throats [3]. The work of Poisson and Visser has been extended in different directions; charged TSWs [4], TSWs constructed by a couple of Schwarzschild spacetimes of different masses [5] and TSWs with a cosmological constant [6]. TSWs in cylindrically symmetric spacetimes have also been studied [7]. Garcia *et al.* published a paper about stability for generic static and spherically symmetric TSWs [8]. Dias and Lemos studied stability in higher dimensional Einstein gravity [9].

Pure tension branes, whether the tension is positive or negative, have particular interest because they have Lorentz invariance and have no intrinsic dynamical degrees of freedom. In the context of stability, pure negative tension branes have no intrinsic instability by their own, although they violate the weak energy condition. This is in contrast with the Ellis wormholes, for which a phantom scalar field is assumed as a matter content and it suffers the so-called ghost instability because of the kinetic term of a wrong sign [10, 11]. The construction of traversable wormholes by using negative tension branes have first been proposed by C. Barceló and M. Visser [12]. They analyzed dynamics of spherically symmetric traversable wormholes obtained by operating the cut-and-paste procedure for negative tension 2-branes (three dimensional timelike singular hypersurface) in four dimensional spacetimes. They found stable brane wormholes constructed by pasting a couple of Reissner-Nordström-(anti) de Sitter spacetimes. In their work [12], the charge is essential to sustain such wormholes.

And in most cases, a negative cosmological constant tends to make the black hole horizons smaller. However, in exceptional cases, one can obtain wormholes with a vanishing cosmological constant, if the absolute value of the charge satisfies a certain condition.

In this paper, we investigate negative tension branes as thin shell wormholes in spherical, planar (or cylindrical) and hyperbolic symmetries in d dimensional Einstein gravity with an electromagnetic field and a cosmological constant in bulk spacetimes. In spherical geometry, we find the higher dimensional counterpart of Barceló and Visser's wormholes which are stable against spherically symmetric perturbations. As the number of dimensions increases, larger charge is allowed to construct such stable wormholes without a cosmological constant. Not only in spherical geometry, but also in planar and hyperbolic geometries, we find static wormholes which are stable against perturbations preserving symmetries.

This paper consists of the following sections. In Sec. II, we present a formalism for wormholes, which is more general than previous formalisms and also obtain a stability condition against perturbations preserving symmetries. In Sec. III, we introduce wormholes with a negative tension brane and we analyze the existence of static solutions, stability and horizon avoidance in spherical, planar and hyperbolic symmetries. Sec. IV is devoted to summary and discussion.

II. WORMHOLE FORMALISM

A. Construction

The formalism for spherically symmetric d dimensional thin shell wormholes has been developed first by Dias and Lemos [9]. We extend their formalism to more general situations. We obtain wormholes by operating three steps invoking junction conditions [13]. This approach to construct TSWs has been pioneered by Poisson and Visser [3].

Firstly, consider a couple of d dimensional manifolds, $\mathcal{V}_{\pm}$. We assume $d \geq 3$. The d dimensional Einstein equations are given by

$$G_{\mu\nu\pm} + \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{6}\Lambda_{\pm} g_{\mu\nu\pm} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu\pm}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu\pm}$, $T_{\mu\nu\pm}$ and $\Lambda_{\pm}$ are Einstein tensors, stress-energy tensors and cosmological constants in the manifolds $\mathcal{V}_{\pm}$, respectively. The metrics on $\mathcal{V}_{\pm}$ are given by $g^{\pm}_{\mu\nu}(x^{\pm})$. The

metrics for static and spherically, planar and hyperbolically symmetric spacetimes on $\mathcal{V}_\pm$ are written as

$$ds_\pm^2 = -f_\pm(r_\pm)dt_\pm^2 + f_\pm(r_\pm)^{-1}dr_\pm^2 + r_\pm^2(d\Omega_{d-2}^k)_\pm^2, \tag{2.2}$$

$$f_\pm(r_\pm) = k - \frac{b_\pm(r_\pm)}{r_\pm} := k - \frac{\Lambda_\pm r_\pm^2}{3} - \frac{M_\pm}{r_\pm^{d-3}} + \frac{Q_\pm^2}{r_\pm^{2(d-3)}}, \tag{2.3}$$

respectively. $M_\pm$ and $Q_\pm$ correspond to the masses and charges in $\mathcal{V}_\pm$, respectively. k is a constant that determines the geometry of the $(d-2)$ dimensional space and takes ± 1 or 0. $k = +1$, 0 and -1 correspond to a sphere, plane (or cylinder) and a hyperboloid, respectively. $(d\Omega_{d-2}^k)^2$ is given by

$$(d\Omega_{d-2}^k)^2 = \begin{cases} k=1: & (d\Omega_{d-2}^1)^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \ldots + \prod_{i=2}^{d-3}\sin^2\theta_i d\theta_{d-2}^2 \\ k=0: & (d\Omega_{d-2}^0)^2 = d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + \ldots + d\theta_{d-2}^2 \\ k=-1: & (d\Omega_{d-2}^{-1})^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sinh^2\theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \ldots + \sinh^2\theta_1 \prod_{i=2}^{d-3}\sin^2\theta_i d\theta_{d-2}^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

We should note that by generalized Birkhoff's theorem [14], the metric (2.2) is the unique solution of Einstein equations of electrovacuum for $k = \pm 1$. However, this is *not* unique for $k = 0$. Therefore, we should regard Eq. (2.2) as a special electrovacuum spacetime for $k = 0$.

Secondly, we construct a manifold $\mathcal{V}$ by gluing $\mathcal{V}_\pm$ at their boundaries. We choose the boundary hypersurfaces $\partial\mathcal{V}_\pm$ as follows:

$$\partial\mathcal{V}_\pm \equiv \{r_\pm = a \mid f_\pm(a) > 0\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where a is called the thin shell radius. Then, by gluing the two regions $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\pm$ which are defined as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\pm \equiv \{r_\pm \geq a \mid f_\pm(a) > 0\} \tag{2.6}$$

with matching their boundaries, $\partial\mathcal{V}_+ = \partial\mathcal{V}_- \equiv \partial\mathcal{V}$, we can construct a new manifold $\mathcal{V}$ which has a wormhole throat at $\partial\mathcal{V}$. $\partial\mathcal{V}$ should be a timelike hypersurface, on which the line element is given by

$$ds_{\partial\mathcal{V}}^2 = -d\tau^2 + a(\tau)^2(d\Omega_{d-2}^k)^2. \tag{2.7}$$

It is convenient to define the function $F(x) \equiv r - a(\tau)$ so that $\partial\mathcal{V}$ is given by

$$F = r - a(\tau) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

τ stand for proper time on the junction surface $\partial\mathcal{V}$ whose position is described by the coordinates $x^\mu(\xi^i) = x^\mu(\tau, \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{d-2}) = (t(\tau), a(\tau), \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{d-2})$, where Greek indices run over $1, 2, \ldots, d$ and Latin indices run over $1, 2, \ldots, d-1$. $\{\xi^i\}$ are the intrinsic coordinates on $\partial\mathcal{V}$.

Thirdly, by using the junction conditions, we derive the equations for the manifold $\partial\mathcal{V}$. To achieve this, we define unit normals to hypersurfaces $\partial\mathcal{V}_\pm$. The unit normals are defined by

$$n_{\alpha\pm} \equiv \pm \frac{F_{,\alpha}}{|g^{\mu\nu}F_{,\mu}F_{,\nu}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{2.9}$$

To construct thin shell wormholes, we make the unit normals on $\partial\mathcal{V}_\pm$ take different signs, while to construct normal thin shell models, the unit normals are chosen to be of same signs. We define vectors tangent to $\partial\mathcal{V}$ as

$$e^\alpha_{(i)\pm} \equiv \frac{\partial x^\alpha_\pm}{\partial \xi^i}. \tag{2.10}$$

We also define the four-velocity $u^\alpha_\pm$ of the boundary as

$$\begin{aligned} u^\alpha_\pm &= e^\alpha_{(\tau)\pm} = (\dot{t}_\pm, \dot{a}, 0, \ldots, 0) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{f_\pm(a)} \sqrt{f_\pm(a) + \dot{a}^2}, \dot{a}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\dot{} \equiv \partial/\partial\tau$ and $u^\alpha u_\alpha = -1$ is satisfied. From Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$n_{\alpha\pm} = \pm \left(-\dot{a}, \frac{\sqrt{f_\pm + \dot{a}^2}}{f_\pm}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right) \tag{2.12}$$

and the unit normal satisfies $n_\alpha n^\alpha = 1$ and $u^\alpha n_\alpha = 0$.

B. Equations for the shell

In general, there is matter distribution on $\partial\mathcal{V}$. The equations for the shell are given by the junction conditions of the Einstein equations [13] as follows:

$$S^i_j = -\frac{1}{8\pi}(\kappa^i_j - \delta^i_j \kappa^l_l), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\kappa^i_j = (K^{i+}_j - K^{i-}_j)\big|_{\partial\mathcal{V}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where S^i_j is the surface stress-energy tensor residing on $\partial\mathcal{V}$. $K^\pm_{ij}$ are the extrinsic curvatures defined by

$$K^\pm_{ij} \equiv (\nabla_\mu n^\pm_\nu) e^\mu_{(i)\pm} e^\nu_{(j)\pm}. \tag{2.15}$$

The non zero components of $K^{\pm}_{ij}$ are the following:

$$K^{\tau\pm}_{\tau} = \pm(f_{\pm} + \dot{a}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ddot{a} + \frac{1}{2}f'_{\pm}), \tag{2.16}$$

$$K^{\theta_1\pm}_{\theta_1} = K^{\theta_2\pm}_{\theta_2} = \ldots = K^{\theta_{d-2}\pm}_{\theta_{d-2}} = \pm\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{f_{\pm} + \dot{a}^2}, \tag{2.17}$$

where $' \equiv d/da$. Consequently, the non zero components of κ^i_j are

$$\kappa^{\tau}_{\tau} = \frac{B_+(a)}{A_+(a)} + \frac{B_-(a)}{A_-(a)}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\kappa^{\theta_1}_{\theta_1} = \ldots = \kappa^{\theta_{d-2}}_{\theta_{d-2}} = \frac{A_+(a) + A_-(a)}{a}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$A_{\pm}(a) \equiv \sqrt{f_{\pm} + \dot{a}^2}\ ,\ B_{\pm}(a) \equiv \ddot{a} + \frac{1}{2}f'_{\pm}. \tag{2.20}$$

Since our metrics (2.2) are diagonal, S^i_j is also diagonalized and written as

$$S^i_j = \mathrm{diag}(-\sigma, p, p, \ldots, p), \tag{2.21}$$

where p is the surface pressure (of opposite sign to surface tension) and σ is the surface energy density living on the thin shell. From Eqs. (2.13) and (2.21), we obtain

$$\sigma = -\frac{d-2}{8\pi a}(A_+ + A_-), \tag{2.22}$$

$$p = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left[\frac{B_+}{A_+} + \frac{B_-}{A_-} + \frac{d-3}{a}(A_+ + A_-)\right]. \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, we deduce a critical property of wormholes that σ must be negative.

The equation of motion for the surface stress-energy tensor S^i_j is given by

$$S^{ij}{}_{|j} + [T^{\alpha}_{\beta}e^i_{\alpha}n^{\beta}]_{\pm} = 0 \tag{2.24}$$

as in [15] , where "$[\ ]_{\pm}$" denotes the difference between the quantities in $\mathcal{V}_+$ and in $\mathcal{V}_-$. Since the stress-energy tensor T^{α}_{β} in the bulk spacetime only contains the electromagnetic field,

$$T^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4}\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1), \tag{2.25}$$

one can find $T^{\alpha}_{\beta}e^i_{\alpha}n^{\beta} = 0$. Hence Eq. (2.24) yields

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(\sigma a^{d-2}) + p\frac{d}{d\tau}(a^{d-2}) = 0. \tag{2.26}$$

Eq. (2.26) corresponds to the conservation law. For later convenience for calculations, we recast Eq. (2.26) as

$$\sigma' = -\frac{d-2}{a}(\sigma + p). \tag{2.27}$$

We can get the conservation law of mechanical energy for the exotic matter on the thin shell throat by recasting Eq. (2.22) as follows:

$$\dot{a}^2 + V(a) = 0, \tag{2.28}$$

$$V(a) = -\left(\frac{4\pi a\sigma}{d-2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{f_+ - f_-}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d-2}{8\pi a\sigma}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(f_+ + f_-\right), \tag{2.29}$$

where $V(a)$ is called the effective potential or just the potential. From Eq. (2.28), the range of a which satisfies $V(a) \leq 0$ is the movable range for the shell. Since we obtained Eq. (2.28) by twice squaring of Eq. (2.22), there is possibility that we take wrong solutions which satisfy Eq. (2.28) but do not satisfy Eq. (2.22). See Appendix for the condition of right solutions. By differentiating Eq. (2.28) with respect to τ, we get the equation of motion for the shell as

$$\ddot{a} = -\frac{1}{2}V'(a). \tag{2.30}$$

Suppose a thin shell throat be static at $a = a_0$ and its throat radius satisfy the relation

$$f(a_0) > 0. \tag{2.31}$$

This condition is called the horizon-avoidance condition in Ref [12]. We analyze stability against small perturbations preserving symmetries. To determine whether the shell is stable or not against the perturbation, we use Taylor expansion of the potential $V(a)$ around the static radius a_0 as

$$V(a) = V(a_0) + V'(a_0)(a - a_0) + \frac{1}{2}V''(a_0)(a - a_0)^2 + \mathcal{O}((a - a_0)^3). \tag{2.32}$$

From Eqs. (2.28) and (2.30), $\dot{a}_0 = 0, \ddot{a}_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow V(a_0) = 0, V'(a_0) = 0$ at $a = a_0$ so the potential given by Eq. (2.32) reduces to

$$V(a) = \frac{1}{2}V''(a_0)(a - a_0)^2 + \mathcal{O}((a - a_0)^3). \tag{2.33}$$

Therefore, the stability condition against radial perturbations for the thin shell is given by

$$V''(a_0) > 0. \tag{2.34}$$

III. WORMHOLES WITH A NEGATIVE TENSION BRANE WITH Z2 SYMMETRY

A. Effective potential

From now on, for simplicity, we assume Z2 symmetry, that is, we assume $M_+ = M_-$, $Q_+ = Q_-$ and $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_-$ and hence $f_+(r) = f_-(r)$. We denote $M_+ = M_- = M$, $Q_+ = Q_- = Q$, $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_- = \Lambda$ and $f(r) := f_+(r) = f_-(r)$. Then Eq. (2.32) reduces to

$$V(a) = f(a) - \left(\frac{4\pi a\sigma}{d-2}\right)^2. \tag{3.1}$$

We investigate wormholes which consist of a negative tension brane. From Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23), the surface energy density and surface pressure for the negative tension brane are represented as

$$\sigma = -\frac{d-2}{4\pi a}A = \alpha, \tag{3.2}$$

$$p = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{B}{A} + \frac{d-3}{a}A\right) = -\alpha, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\alpha < 0$. By substituting Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) into Eq. (2.27), we obtain $\sigma' = 0$ irrespective of d. Therefore, α must be constant and then both p and σ must be constant, too. So the effective potential reduces to

$$V(a) = f(a) - \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha}{d-2}\right)^2 a^2. \tag{3.4}$$

B. Static solutions, stability criterion and horizon-avoidance condition

The present system may have static solutions $a = a_0$. We define $p_0 := p(a_0)$ and $\sigma_0 := \sigma(a_0)$, where a_0 satisfies Eq. (2.31) :

$$\sigma_0 = -\frac{d-2}{4\pi a_0}A_0 = \alpha, \tag{3.5}$$

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{B_0}{A_0} + \frac{d-3}{a_0}A_0\right) = -\alpha, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$A_0 := \sqrt{f(a_0)}, B_0 := \frac{1}{2}f'(a_0). \tag{3.7}$$

Eliminating α from Eqs. (3.5) and (3.6), we can obtain the equation for the static solutions,

$$\frac{a_0}{2}f'(a_0) - f(a_0) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

The explicit form of Eq. (3.23) is

$$2ka_0^{2(d-3)} - (d-1)Ma_0^{d-3} + 2(d-2)Q^2 = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

The stability conditions for wormholes are shown in the previous section as $V''(a_0) > 0$. The relation between α and a_0 is given by Eq. (3.5). By substituting Eq. (3.5) into Eq. (3.4), we obtain stability conditions as

$$\begin{aligned} V''(a_0) &= f_0'' - 2\left(\frac{4\pi\alpha}{d-2}\right)^2 = f_0'' - 2\frac{f_0}{a_0^2} > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (d-3)\left[4k - (d-1)\frac{M}{a_0^{d-3}}\right] < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We used Eq. (3.9) to derive Eq. (3.10). As one can see, since static solutions of Eq. (3.9) and stability conditions of Eq. (3.10) do not contain Λ, the cosmological constant only affects the horizon-avoidance condition of Eq. (2.31). By studying both the existence of static solutions and stability conditions, we can search static and stable wormholes.

C. $d = 3$

We first analyze static solutions and stability for $d = 3$. In this case, the stability analysis is simple. The metric (2.3) becomes

$$f(a) = k - M + Q^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2, \tag{3.11}$$

so the potential is

$$V(a) = k - M + Q^2 - \Lambda_{\text{eff}}a^2, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha}{d-2}\right)^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the shell is static, $V'(a_0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore the potential is $V(a) = k - M + Q^2$. Besides, $V(a_0) = 0$ yields $k - M + Q^2 = 0$ so we obtain

$$f(a) = -\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2, V(a) = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore any radius a_0 is static. We find $V''(a_0) = 0$, which means the wormholes is marginally stable. The horizon-avoidance condition $f(a_0) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \Lambda < 0$ is satisfied because of (3.13) and (3.14). This wormhole is constructed by pasting a couple of anti de-Sitter spacetimes.

D. $d \geq 4$

From now on, we assume $d \geq 4$. For $k \neq 0$ and $M \neq 0$, Eq. (3.9) is a quadratic equation. The static solutions are then given by

$$a_{0\pm}^{d-3} = \frac{d-1}{4k}M(1 \pm b), \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$b := \sqrt{1 - k\frac{q^2}{q_c^2}}, q := \frac{|Q|}{|M|}, q_c := \frac{(d-1)}{4\sqrt{d-2}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Combining Eqs. (3.16) and (3.10), we can see that for $b = 0$, the positive and negative sign solutions coincide and their stability depends on higher order terms. For $b > 0$ and $k = +1$, the negative sign solution is stable, while the positive sign solution is unstable. For $k = -1$, we can conclude $b \geq 1$ and stability depends on the sign of mass M. The horizon-avoidance condition (2.31) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{3}\Lambda a_{0\pm}^2 < -\frac{(d-3)k}{(d-1)(d-2)(1 \pm b)}\left[2 - (d-1)(1 \pm b)\right]. \tag{3.18}$$

We investigate $k = +1$ and $k = -1$ cases, separately.

1. $k = 1$ *and* $M \neq 0$

Though the original range for b is $0 \leq b \leq 1$, $b = 0 \Leftrightarrow q = q_c$ does not satisfy the stability condition: For $q = q_c$, there is the only one static solution,

$$a_0^{d-3} = \frac{d-1}{4}M. \tag{3.19}$$

This double root solution is linearly marginally stable but nonlinearly unstable because $V'''(a_0) \neq 0$. From Eq. (3.19), we must have positive mass $M > 0$ to make sure a_0 to be positive. The horizon-avoidance condition reduces to

$$\lambda < R(d), \tag{3.20}$$

where λ is a dimensionless quantity corresponding to Λ and $R(d)$ is defined by

$$\lambda := \frac{\Lambda}{3}|M|^{\frac{2}{d-3}}, R(d) \equiv \left(\frac{4}{d-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-3}} \frac{(d-3)^2}{(d-1)(d-2)}. \tag{3.21}$$

Since $R(d)$ is positive, we can have the wormhole even without Λ.

If $b = 1 \Leftrightarrow Q = 0$, the negative sign solution vanishes. The positive sign solution which does not satisfy the stability condition is

$$a_{0+}^{d-3} = \frac{d-1}{2}M. \tag{3.22}$$

From Eq. (3.22), M must be positive. One can verify that the horizon-avoidance condition cannot be satisfied, $f(a_0) < 0$.



FIG. 1. The potential $\tilde{V}(a)$ for $d = 4$, $k = +1$ and $M = 2$. The dashed line is the potential for the critical value defined in Eq. (3.17).

When $0 < b < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < q < q_c$, there are two static solutions

$$a_{0\pm}^{d-3} = \frac{d-1}{4}M(1 \pm b). \tag{3.23}$$



FIG. 2. The potential for $d = 5$, $k = +1$ and $M = 2$.

The stability condition is satisfied if we take the negative sign solution of Eq. (3.23). The positive sign solution is unstable. Since the static solution must be positive, we must have $M > 0$. The following transformation helps us to understand the potentials:

$$\tilde{V}(a) \equiv \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{M}{a^{d-1}} + \frac{Q^2}{a^{2(d-2)}}$$

so that

$$\dot{a}^2 + V(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\ln a}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \tilde{V}(a) = \Lambda_{\text{eff}}.$$

The potentials $\tilde{V}(a)$ are plotted in Figs. 1 and 2 for $d = 4$ and $d = 5$, respectively. The horizon-avoidance condition (3.18) reduces to

$$\lambda < H_{\pm}(d, q), \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$H_{\pm}(d, q) \equiv -\left\{\frac{4}{(d-1)(1 \pm b)}\right\}^{\frac{2}{d-3}} \frac{d-3}{(d-1)(d-2)(1 \pm b)} \left[2 - (d-1)(1 \pm b)\right]. \tag{3.25}$$

The positive and the negative sign corresponds with the sign of Eq. (3.23) in same order. When one take the positive sign, $H_+(d, q)$, one can find the inside of the square brackets of Eq. (3.25) is negative, then $H_+(d, q)$ is positive. Therefore Eq. (3.24) is satisfied even with $\Lambda = 0$ in the case of $H_+(d, q)$. Similarly, in the case of the negative sign, $H_-(d, q)$, if the inside of the square brackets of Eq. (3.25) can be negative, Eq. (3.24) is satisfied even with $\Lambda = 0$. In this stable case, one can achieve this situation if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2} < q < q_c \tag{3.26}$$



FIG. 3. The functions $H_{\pm}(d,q)$ defined in Eq. (3.25) are plotted. The dashed lines are $H_{+}(d,q)$ and the solid lines are $H_{-}(d,q)$. The regions below these curves represent the regions of λ which satisfy Eq. (3.24). When $q < 1/2$, $H_{+}(d,q)$ is positive, while $H_{-}(d,q)$ is negative. When $1/2 < q < q_c$, both $H_{\pm}(d,q)$ are positive. As the number of dimensions and charge increase, it approaches 1.

is satisfied. $H_{\pm}(d,q)$ are plotted in Fig. 3. Therefore, we can construct a stable TSW without Λ when the condition Eq. (3.26) is satisfied. So the extremal or subextremal charge $q \leq 1/2$ of the Reissner-Nordström spacetime cannot satisfy Eq. (3.26). We can reconfirm the previous result by taking $d = 4$ and $M = 2m$ for Eq. (3.26) as

$$1 < \left(\frac{|Q|}{m}\right)^2 < \frac{9}{8}. \tag{3.27}$$

This coincides with the previous result by Barceló and Visser [12]. From Eq. (3.26), as d increases, larger charge is allowed to construct a stable wormhole without Λ. This class of wormholes are constructed by pasting a couple of over-charged higher dimensional Reissner-Nordström spacetimes.

2. $k = -1$ *and* $M \neq 0$

The static solution is given by

$$a_{0\pm}^{d-3} = -\frac{d-1}{4} M(1 \pm b), \tag{3.28}$$



FIG. 4. The function $I(d,q)$ defined in Eq. (3.31) is plotted. The regions below these curves represent the regions of λ which satisfy Eq. (3.30). $I(d,q)$ is negative. In $d=4$, $I(4,q)\to 0$ as $q\to\infty$.

where

$$b=\sqrt{1+\frac{q^2}{q_c^2}}. \tag{3.29}$$

b is more than than or equal to unity i.e. $b\geq 1$. If $M>0$ and $Q\neq 0$, the stability condition Eq. (3.10) is satisfied, if we take the negative sign solution of Eq. (3.28). If $M>0$ and $Q=0$, since the negative sign solution vanishes and the positive sign solution is negative, there is no static solution. Therefore, if $M>0$ and $Q\neq 0$, we can have stable wormholes. In this case the horizon-avoidance condition Eq. (3.18) reduces to

$$\lambda<I(d,q), \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$I(d,q):=-\frac{d-3}{(d-1)(d-2)}\left\{\frac{4}{(d-1)(b-1)}\right\}^{-\frac{2}{d-3}}\left[\frac{2}{b-1}+d-1\right]. \tag{3.31}$$

Eq. (3.30) can be satisfied only if Λ is negative and $|\Lambda|$ is sufficiently large. One can find that the inside of the square brackets on the right hand side of Eq. (3.31) is positive, so the vanishing cosmological constant cannot satisfy Eq. (3.31) unlike for $k=+1$. The value of $I(d,q)$ determines the maximum value for λ which is needed to achieve the horizon-avoidance condition Eq. (3.30). $I(d,q)$ is plotted in Fig. 4. Since $I(d,q)<0$, we need a negative cosmological constant for the horizon-avoidance condition to be satisfied.



FIG. 5. The function $N(d,q)$ defined in Eq. (3.34) is plotted. The regions below these curves represent the regions of λ which satisfy Eq. (3.33). $N(d,q)$ is negative. As the number of dimensions increases, it approaches -1.

If $M < 0$, the stability condition is satisfied if we take the positive sign solution Eq. (3.28) whether it is with or without charge. The negative sign solution contradicts $a_0 > 0$. The positive sign solution is

$$a_{0+}^{d-3} = \frac{d-1}{4}|M|(1+b). \tag{3.32}$$

In this case, the horizon-avoidance condition Eq. (3.18) reduces to

$$\lambda < N(d,q), \tag{3.33}$$

where

$$N(d,q) \equiv \left\{\frac{4}{(d-1)(1+b)}\right\}^{\frac{2}{d-3}} \frac{d-3}{(d-1)(d-2)(1+b)}\left[2-(d-1)(1+b)\right]. \tag{3.34}$$

$N(d,q)$ is plotted in Fig. 5. Since $N(d,q) < 0$, we find that a negative cosmological constant is needed to achieve the horizon avoidance.

3. $k \neq 0$ *and* $M = 0$

For $k = +1$, from Eq. (3.9), we find there is no static solution. For $k = -1$, Eq. (3.9) has a double root solution:

$$a_0^{d-3} = \sqrt{d-2}|Q|, \tag{3.35}$$

where $Q \neq 0$ must hold for the positivity of a_0. One can easily verify the stability condition is satisfied in this case. The horizon avoidance Eq. (2.31) reduces to

$$\frac{\Lambda}{3} < S(d,q), \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$S(d,q) \equiv -|Q|^{-\frac{2}{d-3}}(d-3)(d-2)^{d-4} \tag{3.37}$$

Since the right hand side of Eq. (3.36) is negative, we need a negative cosmological constant for the stable wormhole in this case. However, even an arbitrarily small $|\Lambda|$ can satisfy Eq. (3.36), if $|Q|$ is sufficiently large.

4. $k = 0$ *and* $M \neq 0$

There is the only one static solution that is

$$a_0^{d-3} = 2\frac{d-2}{d-1}\frac{|Q|^2}{M}. \tag{3.38}$$

$M > 0$ and $Q \neq 0$ must hold since a_0 must be positive. The stability condition is satisfied in this case. The horizon-avoidance condition reduces to

$$\lambda < J(d,q), \tag{3.39}$$

where

$$J(d,q) \equiv -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{2\frac{d-1}{d-3}}\left(\frac{d-1}{2(d-2)}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-3}}\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{(d-2)^2}. \tag{3.40}$$

Since the right hand side of (3.39) is negative, Λ should be negative. Taking a limit of $d \to \infty$ leads to

$$\lambda\left(\frac{|Q|}{M}\right)^2 < -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{as} \quad d \to \infty. \tag{3.41}$$

The function $J(d,q)$ is plotted in Fig. 6. Since $J(d,q)$ is negative, we need a negative cosmological constant for the horizon avoidance.



FIG. 6. The function $J(d,q)$ defined in Eq. (3.40) is plotted. The regions below these curves represent the regions of λ which satisfy Eq. (3.39). $J(d,q)$ is negative. As the number of dimensions increases, it approaches $-1/4q^2$.

5. $k = 0$ and $M = 0$

In this case, we must have $Q = 0$ to satisfy Eq. (3.9). Then we get $V(a) = -\Lambda_{\text{eff}} a^2$, where Λ_{eff} is defined in Eq. (3.13). Since the shell is static, $V'(a_0) = 0$, we find

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\Lambda}{3} = -\left(\frac{4\pi\alpha}{d-2}\right)^2 . \tag{3.42}$$

Then, the potential vanishes, i.e., $V(a) = 0$, which means the wormhole can be static at an arbitrarily radius and is marginally stable. Since the cosmological constant turned out to be negative from Eq. (3.42), we have $f(a) = (4\pi\alpha/d-2)^2 a^2$, then the horizon avoidance $f(a_0) > 0$ is automatically satisfied. This solution is what we can call an another side of Randall-Sundrum (RS) II brane world model [16]. In both cases, the ingredients are a couple of anti de-Sitter (AdS) spacetimes. The RS II model is a compactified spacetime by pasting the *interiors* of a couple of AdS spacetimes at the boundaries, while the wormhole solution is a non-compactified spacetime by pasting the *exteriors* of a couple of AdS spacetimes at the boundaries.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We developed the thin shell formalism for d dimensional spacetimes which is more general than Dias and Lemos formalism [9]. We investigated spherically, planar (cylindrically) and

hyperbolically symmetric wormholes with a pure negative tension brane and found and classifies Z2 symmetric static solutions which are stable against radial perturbations. We found that in most cases charge is needed to keep the static throat radius positive and that a negative cosmological constant tends to decrease the radius of the black hole horizon and then to achieve the horizon avoidance. So the combination of an electric charge and a negative cosmological constant makes it easier to construct stable wormholes. However, a negative cosmological constant is unnecessary in a certain situation of $k = +1$ and $M > 0$ and charge is unnecessary in a certain situation of $k = -1$ and $M < 0$. We summarize the results in Tables I, II, III and IV.

In three dimensions, there is only possibility to have a marginally stable wormhole. The ingredients of this wormhole are a couple of AdS space-times.

Then, we restrict the spacetime dimensions to be higher than or equal to four. For $k = +1$, spherically symmetric thin shell wormholes which are made with a negative tension brane are investigated. It turns out that the mass must be positive, i.e., $M > 0$. The obtained wormholes can be interpreted as the higher dimensional counterpart of Barceló-Visser wormholes [12]. As a special case, if $1/2 < q < q_c$, one can obtain a stable wormhole without a cosmological constant. This wormhole consists of a negative tension brane and a couple of over-charged Reissner-Nordström space-times.

For $k = -1$, though it is hard to imagine how such symmetry is physically realized, they are interesting from the viewpoint of stability analyses. It turns out that M can be positive, zero and negative for stable wormholes. In this geometry, there is no upper limit for $|Q|$ for stable wormholes. There is possibility for a stable wormhole without charge if $M < 0$ and $\lambda < N(d, 0)$ is satisfied.

For $k = 0$, the geometry is planar symmetric or cylindrically symmetric. In this **case**, since the generalized Birkhoff's theorem does not apply [14], we should regard the Reissner-Nordström-(anti) de Sitter spacetime as a special solution to the electrovacuum Einstein equations. This means that the present analysis only covers a part of possible static thin shell wormholes and the stability against only a part of possible radial perturbations. Under such a restriction, we find that we need $Q \neq 0$ and $\Lambda < 0$ to have stable wormholes. There is no upper limit for $|Q|$. In the zero mass case, the wormhole is marginally stable.

Finally, we would note that the existence and stability of negative tension branes as thin shell wormholes crucially on the curvature of the maximally symmetric $(d-2)$ dimensional

manifolds. On the other hand, they do not qualitatively but only quantitatively depend on the number of spacetime dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Tsutomu Kobayashi, Hideki Maeda, Ken-Ichi Nakao, Shinya Tomizawa, Takashi Torii and Chul-Moon Yoo for helpful comments and discussions. T.K. was supported in part by Rikkyo University Special Fund for Research. T.H. was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid No. 26400282 for Scientific Research Fund of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

Appendix A: The condition for right solutions

We obtained the equation of motion (2.28) by twice squaring Eq. (2.22). Eq. (2.22) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} C^2\sigma^2 = (A_+ + A_-)^2, \\ \sigma < 0. \end{cases} \tag{A1}$$

The above is also equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \left(C^2\sigma^2 - A_+^2 - A_-^2\right)^2 = (2A_+A_-)^2, \\ C^2\sigma^2 - A_+^2 - A_-^2 > 0, \\ \sigma < 0. \end{cases} \tag{A2}$$

The first equation of Eqs. (A2) is obtained by squaring the first equation of Eqs. (A1). By recasting the first equation of Eqs. (A2), we obtain the equation of motion Eq. (2.28). However, the solution of Eq. (2.28) is valid if and only if the second and third inequalities of Eqs. (A2) are satisfied. The second inequalities of Eqs. (A2) is represented explicitly as

$$A_0^2 > 0, \tag{A3}$$

where Eq. (3.5) is used. Since $A_0^2 = f(a_0) > 0$ is guaranteed by the horizon-avoidance condition, our analysis does not contain wrong solutions of Eq. (2.28). On the other hand,

if we deal with a solution violating the horizon-avoidance condition, we need to reconsider whether it is valid in more general context.

---

[1] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 395 (1988).

[2] M. Visser, *Lorentzian Wormholes*, AIP Press, New York(1996);
M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3182 (1989);
M. Visser, Nucl. Phys. B **328**, 203 (1989).

[3] E. Poisson and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 7318(1995).

[4] E. F. Eiroa and G. E. Romero, Gen Relativ. Gravit. **36**, 651 (2004);
E. F. Eiroa, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 024018 (2008).

[5] M. Ishak and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 044011 (2002).

[6] F. S. N. Lobo and P. Crawford, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, (2004) 391-404

[7] E. F. Eiroa and C. Simone, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 044008 (2004);
C. Bejarano, E. F. Eiroa and C. Simone, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 027501 (2007);
E. F. Eiroa and C. Simone, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084022 (2010);
E. F. Eiroa and C. Simone, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 084039 (2010);
M. G. Richarte, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 067503 (2013);
S. H. Mazharimousavi, M. Halilsoy and Z. Amirabi, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084003 (2014).

[8] M. N. Garcia, F. S. N. Lobo and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 044026(2012).

[9] G. A. S. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **82**,084023(2010).

[10] H. G. Ellis, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 104 (1973);
K. A. Bronnikov, Acta Phys. Polon. B **4**,251 (1973).

[11] H. Shinkai and S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 044005 (2002);
J. A. González, F. S. Guzman and O. Sarbach, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 015010 (2009);
J. A. González, F. S. Guzman and O. Sarbach, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 015011 (2009).

[12] C. Barceló and M. Visser, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 415-435 (2000).

[13] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit*, Cambridge University Press (2007) chap 3.

[14] V. Ruban, Gen. Relat. Grav. GR 8 Waterloo, 303 (1977);
K. Bronnikov and M. Kovalchuk, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **13**, 187 (1980);
K. Bronnikov and V. Melnikov, Gen. Relat. Grav. **27**, 465 (1995);

H. Goenner, Commun. Math. Phys. **16**, 34-47 (1970);

H. Sato and H. Kodama, *Ippan sotaisei riron*, Iwanami Shoten (1992) Chap. 5.

[15] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *GRAVITATION*, W. H. Freeman and company (1973) Part IV p. 554.

[16] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999);

L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).

TABLE I. The existence and stability of Z2 symmetric static wormholes in three dimensions. $k = 1$, 0 and -1 correspond to spherical, planar (cylindrical) and hyperbolic symmetries, respectively.

	Static solution	Horizon avoidance	Stability
$k - M + Q^2 = 0$	$\forall a_0 > 0$	Satisfied	Marginally stable
$k - M + Q^2 \neq 0$	None	–	–

TABLE II. The existence and stability of Z2 symmetric static wormholes in spherical symmetry in four and higher dimensions. q, λ and q_c are defined as $q := |Q/M|$, $\lambda := (\Lambda/3)|M|^{\frac{2}{d-3}}$ and $q_c := (d-1)/(4\sqrt{d-2})$, respectively. The expressions for the static solutions $a_{0\pm}$ $(0 < a_{0-} < a_{0+})$ are given by Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17) with $k = 1$. $H_\pm(d, q)$ are given by Eq. (3.25) and plotted in Fig. 3. $R(d)$ is positive and given by Eq. (3.21). Note that $H_+ > 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq q_c$, while $H_- > 0$ only for $1/2 < q \leq q_c$. Therefore, if $\Lambda = 0$, the horizon-avoidance condition holds for a_{0+} for $0 < q \leq q_c$, while it does for a_{0-} only for $1/2 < q \leq q_c$. For $M > 0$ and $q = q_c$, the double root solution $a = a_{0\pm}$ is linearly marginally stable but nonlinearly unstable.

		Static solution	Horizon avoidance	Stability
$M > 0$	$q = 0$	$[(d-1)M/2]^{1/(d-3)}$	Not satisfied	Unstable
	$0 < q < q_c$	$a_{0\pm}$	$\lambda < H_\pm(d, q)$ for $a_{0\pm}$	a_{0-}: Stable, a_{0+}: Unstable
	$q = q_c$	$[(d-1)M/4]^{1/(d-3)}$	$\lambda < R(d)$	Unstable
	$q_c < q$	None	–	–
$M < 0$		None	–	–
$M = 0$		None	–	–

TABLE III. The existence and stability of Z2 symmetric static wormholes in hyperbolic symmetry in four and higher dimensions. The definitions for q and λ are same as in Table II. The expressions for the static solutions $a_{0\pm}$ are given by Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17) with $k = -1$. $I(d, q)$ and $N(d, q)$ are given by Eqs. (3.31) and (3.34) and plotted in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. $S(d, q)$ is given by Eq. (3.37). Since all of I, N and S are negative, the horizon-avoidance condition cannot be satisfied with $\Lambda = 0$ for any cases in hyperbolic symmetry.

		Static solution	Horizon avoidance	Stability
$M > 0$	$q = 0$	None	–	–
	$q > 0$	a_{0-}	$\lambda < I(d, q)$	Stable
$M < 0$	$q = 0$	$[(d-1)\lvert M\rvert/2]^{1/(d-3)}$	$\lambda < N(d, 0)$	Stable
	$q > 0$	a_{0+}	$\lambda < N(d, q)$	Stable
$M = 0$	$Q = 0$	None	–	–
	$\lvert Q\rvert > 0$	$[\sqrt{d-2}\lvert Q\rvert]^{1/(d-3)}$	$\Lambda/3 < S(d, q)$	Stable

TABLE IV. The existence and stability of Z2 symmetric static wormholes in planar or cylindrical symmetry in four and higher dimensions. The definitions for q and λ are same as in Table II. Note that we assume that the bulk spacetime is described by the Reissner-Nordstöm-(anti) de Sitter metric or its higher dimensional counterpart. $J(d, q)$ is given by Eq. (3.40) and plotted in Fig. 6. Since J is negative, the horizon-avoidance condition cannot be satisfied with $\Lambda = 0$ for $M > 0$ and $q > 0$ in planar or cylindrical symmetry.

		Static solution	Horizon avoidance	Stability
$M > 0$	$q = 0$	None	–	–
	$q > 0$	$[2(d-2)q^2M/(d-1)]^{1/(d-3)}$	$\lambda < J(d, q)$	Stable
$M < 0$	$q = 0$	None	–	–
	$q > 0$	None	–	–
$M = 0$	$Q = 0$	$\forall a_0 > 0$	Satisfied	Marginally stable
	$\lvert Q\rvert > 0$	None	–	–